

MINUTES OF REDRESSAL COMMITTEE MEETING FOR REDRESSAL OF GRIEVANCES OF DIFFERENT FIRMS AGAINST TENDER OF MEDICINE ITEMS FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR 2023-24 HELD ON 09-06-2023 AT 09:00 AM IN THE MEETING ROOM PURCHASE CELL QUAD-E-AZAM MEDICAL COLLEGE B.V.HOSPITAL, BAHAWALPUR.

Presentations of the different firms were discussed as per agenda & decisions were made accordingly.



Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
01	M/S News Pharma, Lahore	Item No. 11 (Susp. Cefixime 100mg/5ml, 30ml / 60ml) Item No. 12 (cap. Cefixime 400mg Blister Paak) Item No. 61 (Inj. Ketorolac Trometamol 30mg/ml, 1ml) Item No. 13 (Inj. Cefoperazone 500mg + Sulbactam 500mg e WFI) Item No. 17 (Inj. Ceftriaxone 250mg e WFI)	Non responsive due to not attached GMP certificate & Affidavit and less marks in marking criteria (15+05+0= 20) Non responsive due to not attached GMP certificate & Affidavit	The firm submitted the grievance & requested to re-check the documents.	The committee scrutinized the record, The committee refused to accept the documents which were missing in technical bid. After rechecking the total marks remained same; the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 11, 12 & 61. The committee scrutinized the record, The committee refused to accept the documents which were missing in technical bid. After rechecking the committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 13 & 17.

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
02	M/S Genetics Pharmaceuticals, Lahore	For item No. 70 (Tab, Paracetamol 325mg + Tramadol 37.5mg) For item No. 196 (Tab, Tamsulosin 0.4mg Blister Pack) For item No. 218 (Cap/Tab Esomeprazole 40mg) For item No. 274 (Cap, Pregabalin 75mg)	Non responsive due to not attached Drug Registration with tender. Non responsive due to not attached Drug Registration with tender & less marks in marking criteria (15+20+0 = 35)	The firm submitted the grievance & requested that we are attached again Drug Registration & Past experience. Please review it.	The committee scrutinized the record of committee refused to accept the documents which were missing in technical bid. After rechecking the committee rejected the presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 70, 196, 218 & 274. The committee scrutinized the record, the committee refused to accept the documents which were missing in technical bid. After rechecking the total marks remained same; the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 375.



Handwritten signature

ame
M/S M
Ltd, Lah

Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
M/S MTT Medical Pvt Ltd, Lahore	For item No. 03 (Inj. Acyclovir 500mg (Lyophilized powder))	Responsive	The firm submitted the grievance pleaded against M/S S.J & G Fazul Ellahie, Karachi quoted product brand Herpex 500mg is not lyophilized and is out of tender specification.	The committee scrutinized the record and discussed the matter in detail. The committee rechecked the samples & rejected the firm presentation pleaded against M/S S.J & G Fazul Ellahie, Karachi. 
M/S Abbott Laboratories, Karachi	For item No. 03 (Inj. Acyclovir 500mg (Lyophilized powder))	Responsive	The firm submitted the grievance pleaded against M/S S.J & G Fazul Ellahie, Karachi & M/S MTT Medical Pvt Ltd, Lahore, quoted product is not meet lyophilization criteria of tender. Pleaded against M/S Axis Pharmaceuticals, Faisalabad.	The committee scrutinized the record and discussed the matter in detail. The committee rechecked the samples & rejected the firm presentation pleaded against M/S S.J & G Fazul Ellahie, Karachi & M/S MTT Medical Pvt Ltd, Lahore. Pending 
04	For Item No. 54 (Tab. Diclofenac Sodium 50mg)		can be verify by IQVIA data whether they have sold 50 lacs tablet in private sector from 01-07-2021 to 30-0602022	

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
05	M/S A.J Mirza Pharma Pvt Ltd, Karachi	for item No. 88 (Tab. Deferasirox 250mg) for item No. 90 (Tab. Deferasirox 500mg)	Responsive	The firm submitted the grievance pleaded against M/S Mughlbes Medicine, BWP that tender floated by director general health service, Peshawar for the financial year 2022-23, their technical team physically inspected genome pharama manufacturer Arefed Tab. Technical evaluation report which are as below. calibration was not done. temperature maintenance was missing good laboratory practice was not followed by firm.	The committee scrutinized the record discussed the matter in detail. The committee rechecked the documents & rejected the presentation pleaded against M/S Mughlbes Medicine company, BWP.
06	M/S AA Pharma, Karachi.	for item No. 391 (Inj. Filgrastim 300mcg) for item No. 435 (Inj. Epoetin Alfa 2000IU Prefilled Syringes) for item No. 436 (Inj. Epoetin Alfa 4000IU Prefilled Syringes)	Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (20+25+10+0+0=55) Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (20+10+10+0+0=40)	The firm submitted the grievance & requested to re-check the documents.	The committee scrutinized the record, the committee refused to accept the documents which were missing in technical bid. After rechecking the total marks remained same; the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 391, 435 & 436.

same c
M/S Rock
Limited, Ka

record at
The comm
& rejected the fir
M/S Mughbics

Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
M/S Roche Pakistan Limited, Karachi	for item No. 401 (Inj. Rituximab 500mg) for item No. 437 (Inj. Epoetin Beta 2000IU / 5000IU Prefilled Syringes) for item No. 512 (Tab. Mycophenolate mofetil 250mg / 500mg Blister Pack)	Non responsive due to non provision of sample	The firm submitted the grievance along with sample.	The committee scrutinized the record and discussed the matter in detail. The committee accepted the samples & declared the firm responsive for item No. 401, 437 & 512.
M/S Brookes Pharma Pvt Ltd, Karachi	for item No. 491 (Solution. Tetrachlorodecaoxide	Non responsive due to non provision of sample	The firm submitted the grievance along with sample receiving photocopy.	The committee scrutinized the record and discussed the matter in detail. After rechecking it was found that sample were submitted with the tender. Hence the committee accepted the firm presentation & declared the firm responsive for item No. 491.
M/S Global Pharmaceuticals, Islamabad	for item No. 510 (Cap. Tamsolusin 400mcg + Deutasteride 500mcg)	Non responsive due to sample not as per specification.	The firm submitted the grievance to review and re-evaluate the sample	The committee scrutinized the record and discussed the matter in detail. The committee decided to re-advertise the item No. 510 with specification Cap. Tamsolusin 400mcg + Deutasteride 500mcg Blister pack / Bottle pack.

Sr. No.	Name of Firm	C/S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
10	M/S Vision Pharmaceuticals, Hyderabad	For item No. 506 (Tab. Levofloxacin 500mg, 30x30)	Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (15+5+0=20)	The firm submitted the grievance & requested to re-check the documents.	The committee scrutinized the records, failed to provide the relevant documents, technical bid, after rechecking the total remained same; the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 506.
11	M/S Almond Pvt Ltd, Jabore	For item No. 90 (Tab. Levofloxacin 500mg Blister Pack / Bottle Pack) For item No. 495 (Cap / Tab Tacrolimus 0.5mg)	Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (15+5+1=21) Non responsive due to non provision of sample & less marks in marking criteria (15+5+0=20)	The firm submitted the grievance & requested to re-check the documents.	The committee scrutinized the record, the committee refused to accept the documents which were missing in technical bid. After rechecking the total marks remained same; the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 90 & 495.
		For item No. 496 (Cap / Tab Tacrolimus 1mg)	Non responsive due to non provision of sample	Submitted the grievance along with sample receiving photocopy.	The committee scrutinized the record and discussed the matter in detail. After rechecking it was found that sample were submitted with the tender. Hence the committee accepted the firm presentation & declared the firm responsive for item No. 496.

W

Amrta

Stamp
M/S Vision
Hyd

Name of Firm	C/S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievance	Decision
M/S Mughben Medicine, Dwp	For Item No. 88 (Tab. Deferastrox 250mg) for Item No. 90 (Tab. Deferastrox 500mg)	Responsive	<p>The firm submitted the grievance pleaded against M/S Alim Pharma, Karachi that product does not meet the required standards of dispersible tablets. The brand leader and research product (asarna & eqjate) and all other brands in Pakistan and international market are available in blister packing, whereas only Odeerox is available in bottle packing. that Odeerox (Alim Pharma) has non-compliance issues regarding dispensing of medicine in hospital pharmacy.</p>	<p>The committee scrutinized the record and discussed the matter in detail. The committee rechecked the documents & rejected the firm presentation pleaded against M/S Alim Pharma, Karachi for Item No. 88 & 90.</p>
for Item No. 87 (Tab. Deferastrox 100mg) for Item No. 90 (Tab. Deferastrox 500mg)			<p>submitted the grievance pleaded against M/S Alimed Pharma, Lahore that product does not have sufficient experience as required in tender criteria. Still it is given 20 marks for the experience criteria. Request to recheck and re-evaluate their marks according to the marking criteria.</p>	<p>The committee scrutinized the record and discussed the matter in detail. The committee rechecked the documents & rejected the firm presentation pleaded against M/S Alimed Pharma, Lahore for Item No. 87 & 90. For Item No. 90 already rejected by TAC.</p>

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
12	M/S Mughbees Medicine, Bwp	for item No. 88 (Tab. Deferasirox 250mg) for item No. 89 (Tab. Deferasirox 400mg) for item No. 90 (Tab. Deferasirox 500mg)	Responsive	submitted the grievance pleaded against M/S New Majeed Medicine, Bwp (CCL Pharmaceuticals) that product Dasirox was banned by the court of law since its launching till October 2022. Novartis pharma had filled a case against CCL Pharmaceuticals for violation of their patent rights for their product. Court has given decision according to which court has restrained CCL Pharmaceuticals from manufacturing, importing, formulating, offering for sale selling its infringing Deferasirox products under the trade mark Dasirox alone or in combination with any other product.	The committee scrutinized the record & discussed the matter in detail. The committee rechecked the documents & rejected the presentation pleaded against M/S New Majeed Medicine, Bwp (CCL Pharmaceuticals) for items No. 88, 89 & 90.
		for item No. 87 (Tab. Deferasirox 100mg) for item No. 90 (Tab. Deferasirox 500mg)		pleaded against M/S Kaizen Pharmaceuticals Pvt Ltd, that product not only have a very poor past sales experience in both private and public institutions but also these products are not recommended and prescribed by the leading Thalassemia consultants in all over Pakistan.	The committee scrutinized the record and discussed the matter in detail. The committee rechecked the documents & rejected the firm presentation pleaded against M/S Kaizen Pharmaceuticals Pvt Ltd, Karachi for item No. 87 & 90.

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

ame of
M/S Life P
Company, A


the record in
all. The comm
& rejected the fir
M/S New Malay
(1) for help

Name of Firm	CS Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
<p>M/S Life Pharmaceutical Company, Mithan</p>	<p>for item No. 42 (Cap/Tab. Ciprofloxacin 500mg) for item No. 46 (Tab. Levofloxacin 250mg/500mg) for item no. 58 (Susp. Ibuprofen 100mg / 5ml, Bottle of 60ml / 90ml / 120ml) For item No. 120 (Syp. Aminophylline 32mg) for item No. 121 (Syp. Ammonium Chloride 100mg) for item No. 133 (Syp. Salbutamol 2mg/5ml, 60ml) for item No. 137 (Tab. Montelukast Sodium 10mg) for item No. 143 (Tab. Amlodipine 5mg) for item No. 145 (Tab. Atenolol 50mg) for item No. 218 (Cap/Tab. Esomeprazole 40mg) for item No. 220 (Cap/Tab. Omeprazole 20mg) for item No. 227 (Syp. Dimethylglutamate 12.5mg/4ml, 60ml) for item No. 228 (Tab. Domperidone 10mg) for item No. 292 (Tab. Risperidone 2mg)</p>	<p>Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (0+5+0=5)</p>	<p>The firm submitted the grievance & requested to re-check the documents.</p>	<p>The committee scrutinized the record, the committee refused to accept the documents which were missing in technical bid. After rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.</p>




ame of
M/S New M
Medicine, Bw

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
13	M/S Life Pharmaceutical Company, Multan	for item No. 340 (Syp. Iron poly/malose Complex, 60ml) for item No. 344 (Syp. Vitamin B Complex, 60ml/120ml) for item No. 364 (Cream / Ointment. Fucidic Acid 2%, Tube of 15gm) for item No. 369 (Cream. Silver Sulphadiazine 50gm) for item No. 375 (Cap. Fluconazole 150mg) for item No. 527 (Tab. Flurbiprofen (Dental) for item No. 528 (Syp. Chlorpheniramine maleate 2 mg / 5ml)	Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (0+5+0=5)	The firm submitted the grievance & requested to re-check the documents.	The committee scrutinized the records of the committee refused to accept the documents which were missing in technical bid. After rechecking the total marks remained same. committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.
		for item No. 229 (Syp / Susp. Domperidone 5mg/5ml, 60ml)	Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (0+5+13=18)		



the record, the document, the technical bid. After the technical bid. After the technical bid.

Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
M/S New Majeed Medicine, Bwp	for item No. 72 (Tab / Cap, Piroxicam 20mg)	Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (10+20+0=30)	The firm submitted the grievance & requested to re-check the documents.	The committee scrutinized the record, the committee refused to accept the documents which were missing in technical bid. After rechecking the total marks remained same; the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for Item No. 72. ✓
	for item No. 88 (Tab. Deferasirox 250mg) for item No. 90 (Tab. Deferasirox 500mg)	Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (10+10+13=33)		The committee scrutinized the record. After rechecking technical bid the marks of financial capacity raised from 10 to 20 (10+20+13)=43, hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No.88 & 90. ✓
	for item No. 278 (Tab. Bromazepam 3mg)	Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (0+20+0=20)		The committee scrutinized the record. After rechecking technical bid the marks of API Source raised from 0 to 20 (20+20+0)=40, hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No. 278. ✓
	for item No. 336 (Syp. Ferrrous Gluconate + Vitamin B. complex 60ml / 120ml) for item No. 344 (Syp. Vitamin B Complex. 60ml/120ml)	Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (10+20+0=30)		The committee scrutinized the record. After rechecking technical bid the marks of market experience raised from 0 to 13 (10+20+13)=43, hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No. 336 & 344. ✓
	for item No. 449 (Inj. Atracurium Besylate 10mg/ml, 2.5ml / 3ml) for item No. 450 (Inj. Atracurium Besylate 10mg/ml, 5ml)	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user & less marks in marking criteria (10+20+03=33)		The committee scrutinized the record & discussed the matter in details, as per expert opinion of end user the committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	
15	M/S Hassan Surgical, Bwp	for item No. 165 (Tab. Glycerol Trinitrate 6.4mg) for item No. 180 (Tab. Metoprolol 50mg) for item No. 246 (Tab. Stigaplin Phosphate 50mg)	Non responsive due to non provision of sample	The firm submitted the grievance along with sample.	The committee scrutinized the record, discussed the matter in detail. The committee accepted the samples & declared the firm responsive for item No. 165, 180 & 246.
		for item No. 175 (Tab. Lisinopril 5mg)	Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (15+20+0=35)	The firm submitted the grievance & requested to re-check the documents.	The committee scrutinized the record, the committee refused to accept the documents which were missing in technical bid. After rechecking the total marks remained same; the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for Item No. 175.
		for item No. 215 (Inj. Trovitban Hydrochloride 12.5mg/50ml)	Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (10+20+0=30)	Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (10+20+0=30)	The committee scrutinized the record, as the firm fail to provide the relevant documents with technical bid, after rechecking the total marks remained same; the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for Item No. 03 & 144.
		for item No. 03 (Inj. Acyclovir 500mg Lyophilized powder) item No. 144 (Tab. Amlodipine 5mg + Valsartan 80mg)	Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (10+20+0=30)	Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (10+20+0=30)	The committee scrutinized the record. After rechecking technical bid the marks of market experience raised from 0 to 20 (10+20+20)=50, hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No. 143.



M/S Hassan Surgical
 Bwp

The committee record
 The comm
 & 246.

Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
M/S Hassan Surgical, Bwp	for item No. 232 (Inj. Ondansetron 8mg) for item No. 233 (Tab. Ondansetron 8mg)	Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (15+20+0=35)	The firm submitted the grievance & requested to re-check the documents.	The committee scrutinized the record, the committee refused to accept the documents which were missing in technical bid. After rechecking the total marks remained same; the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for Item No. 232 & 233.
	for item No. 274 (Cap. Pregabalin 75mg) for item No. 292 (Tab. Resperidone 2mg)	Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (15+20+0=35)	Non responsive due to manufacturer not Ok.	The committee scrutinized the record & discussed the matter in details. The committee accepted the sample and declared the firm responsive for item No. 09 & 11.

Signature

Signature

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
15	M/S Hassan Surgical, Bwp	for item No. 07 (Inj. Imipenem Monohydrate 500mg + Cilastatin Sodium 500mg e WFI) for item no. 37 (Inj. Piperacillin Sodium + Tazobactam,) for Item No. 61 (Inj. Ketorolac Trometamol 30mg/ml, 1ml) for item No. 80 (Inj. Hydrocortisone Sodium Succinate 250mg e WFI) for item No. 83 (Inj. Methyl Prednisolone Sodium Succinate 500mg e WFI) for item No. 188 (Tab. Propanolol 10mg) for item No. 276 (Tab. Alprazolam 0.5mg for item No. 217 (Susp. Aluminium Hydroxide, Magnesium Hydroxide.	Non responsive due to non provision of sample	The firm submitted the grievance along with sample.	The committee scrutinized the record & discussed the matter in details. The committee accepted the samples and declared the responsive for item No. 07, 37, 61, 80, 83, 276. The committee scrutinized the record & discussed the matter in details. as the sample provided was not as per technical specification, the committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 217.

[Handwritten mark]

[Handwritten signature]

ame of
M/S Kaizer
Pharmaceuti
Karachi

the record & declared the firm



Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
M/S Kaizen Pharmaceutical Pvt Ltd, Karachi	for item No. 88 (Tab, Deferasirox 250mg) for item No. 90 (Tab, Deferasirox 500mg)	Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (15+05+13=33)	The firm submitted the grievance & requested to re-check the documents.	The committee scrutinized the record. After rechecking technical bid the marks of market experience raised from 13 to 20 (15+05+20)=40, hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No. 90.
M/S Sami Pharmaceuticals Pvt Ltd, Karachi	for item No. 495 (Cap/Tab, Tacrolimus 0.5mg) for item No. 496 (Cap/Tab, Tacrolimus 1 mg)	for item No. 512 (Mycophenolate mofetil 250mg / 500mg)	Non responsive due to Renewal not attached Drug Manf.Lisc/ Drug Sale Lisc and less marks in marking criteria (15+05+13=33)	Non responsive due to Renewal not attached Drug Manf.Lisc/ Drug Sale Lisc and less marks in marking criteria (10+05+13=28)
M/S Sami Pharmaceuticals Pvt Ltd, Karachi	For item No. 436 (Epoetin Alfa 4000IU Prefilled Syringes)	Responsive	The firm submitted grievance pleaded against M/S New Majeed Medicine, Bwp (BF Biosciences) that the specification for the tender item Epoetin Alfa 4000IU Prefilled Syringes hence the experience of vail from should not be applicable for issuing 20 marks against product experience	The committee scrutinized the record & discussed the matter in details. The committee accepted the firm presentation pleaded against M/S New Majeed Medicine Company, Bwp (BF Biosciences), as according to IMS data, total unit sold in prefilled form by BF Biosciences (Terozons) less 60% of demanded qty 36200 & decrease the marks of market experience from 20 to 0. Hence the committee declared the non responsive of M/S New Majeed Medicine, Bwp for item No. 436.

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
18	M/S Muller & Phipps Pakistan,	<p>For item No. 08 (Inj. Meropenem 1g ē WFI)</p> <p>for item No. 09 (Inj. Meropenem 500mg ē WFI)</p> <p>for item No. 23 (Cap/Tab. Azithromycin 250 mg) for item No. 24 (Cap/Tab. Azithromycin 500 mg)</p> <p>for item No. 68 (Tab. Paracetamol 450mg + Orphenadrine) for item No. 79 (Inj. Tramadol 100mg)</p>	<p>Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (10+0+0=10)</p> <p>Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (10+20+0=30)</p> <p>Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (0+20+13=33)</p>	<p>The firm submitted the grievance & requested to re-check the documents.</p>	<p>The committee scrutinized the records, committee refused to accept the documents which were missing in technical bid. After rechecking the total marks remained same. committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 08, 09, 23, 68 & 79.</p>



Name of Firm
 M/S Bajwa
 Pharmaceutical
 Lahore

Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
M/S Bajwa Pharmaceuticals Pvt Ltd, Lahore	for item No. 61 (Inj. Ketorolac Trometamol 30mg/ml, 1ml) for item No. 157 (Inj. Dobutamine 250mg/5ml, 5ml) for item No. 158 (Inj. Dopamine 40mg/ml) for item No. 231 (Inj. Metoclopramide 5mg/ml)	Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (10+05+20=35)	The firm submitted the grievance & requested to re-check the documents.	The committee scrutinized the record, the committee refused to accept the documents which were missing in technical bid. After rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 61, 157, 158, & 231.
	for item No. 212 (Inj. Tranexamic Acid 250mg) for item No. 213 (Inj. Tranexamic Acid 500mg)	Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (15+05+13=33)		The committee scrutinized the record, the committee refused to accept the documents which were missing in technical bid. After rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 212 & 213.
	for item No. 232 (Inj. Ondansetron 8mg)	Non responsive due to less marks in marking criteria (10+05+0=15)		The committee scrutinized the record, the committee refused to accept the documents which were missing in technical bid. After rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 232.

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
19	M/S Bajwa Pharmaceuticals Pvt Ltd, Lahore	for item No. 449 (Inj. Atracurium Besylate 10mg/ml, 2.5ml / 3ml) for item No. 450 Inj. Atracurium Besylate 10mg/ml, 5ml for item No. 466 (Inj. Bupivacaine HCl 0.75% Spinal 7.5mg, Ampoule of 2ml)	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user & less marks in marking criteria (15+05+13=33) Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user. Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user & less marks in marking criteria (10+05+20=35)	The firm submitted the grievance & requested to re-check the documents.	The committee scrutinized the record & discussed the matter in details, as per expert opinion of end user the committee rejected the firm presentation and upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.

AMIS Admin
SAH Bahawalpur
(Member)

MS. Admin
PIC Bahawalpur
(Member)

AMIS Admin
BVH Bahawalpur
(Member)

Dr. Sh. Attique-ur-Rehman,
Associate Professor of Surgery
Department
(Member)

Prof. Dr. Ambreen Khan
Head of Anesthesia Department
(End User)

Prof. Dr. Mumtaz Rasool
Head of Urology Department
(Member)

Prof. Dr. Shahadat Hussain
Head of Cardiology Department
(Member)

Prof. Dr. Mughnees Ameen
Head of Plastic Surgery Department.
QAMC, BVH Bahawalpur
(Chairman of the Committee)