

MINUTES OF REDRESSAL COMMITTEE MEETING FOR REDRESSAL OF GRIEVANCES OF DIFFERENT FIRMS AGAINST TENDER OF MEDICINE ITEMS FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR 2022-23 HELD ON 27-05-2022 AT 11:00 AM IN THE MEETING ROOM PURCHASE CELL QUAID-E-AZAM MEDICAL COLLEGE B.V.HOSPITAL, BAHAWALPUR.

Presentations of the different firms were discussed as per agenda & decisions were made accordingly.

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
01	M/S Muller & Phipps Pvt Ltd, Multan	Item No. 60 (Tab. Paracetamol 450mg) Item No. 140 (Tab. Amlodipine 5mg) Item No. 203 (Inj. Tranexamic Acid 500mg) Item No. 204 (Tranexamic Acid 500mg) Item No. 232 (Tab. Glimepiride 2mg) Item No. 233 (Tab. Glimepiride 4mg) Item No. 276 (Tab. Escitalopram 10mg) Item No. 345 (Tab. Ossein Mineral) Items No. 70 (Inj. Tramadol 100mg) Item No. 333 (Cap /Tab. Mecobalamine 500mcg)	Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (30/60)=(10+20+0)	The firms submit the grievance and requested to consider the documents provided in grievance.	The committee securitized the record, as the firm fail to provided the relevant documents with technical bid, after rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 60, 140, 203, 204, 232, 233, 276, 345, 70 & 333.





Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
01	M/S Muller & Phipps Pvt Ltd, Multan	Item No. 423 (Inj. Propofol 10mg/ml,)	Non Responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (50/100) = (05+05+20+07+13)	The firms submit the grievance and requested to consider the documents provided in grievance.	The committee securitized the record, as the firm fail to provide the relevant documents with technical bid, after rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 423.
02	M/S Genetics Pharmaceutical Pvt Ltd, Lahore	Item No. 20 (Cap / Tab, Azithromycin 500 mg)	Non responsive due to non provision of drug registration.	The firms submit the grievance and requested for reconsideration.	The committee securitized the record. After rechecking the registration was found with technical bid. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No. 20.
		Item No. 238 (Tab. Sitagliptin Phosphate 50mg)	Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (30/60) = (10+20+0)		The committee securitized the record. After rechecking technical bid the marks of market experience raised from 0 to 20 (10+20+20)=50, hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No.238.

Handwritten signature/initials

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
03	M/S Kohinoor Industries, Sahiwal	Item No. 471 (Solution. ovidone – Iodine 7.5%) Item No. 472 (Solution. Povidone – Iodine 10%)	Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (28/60) = (10+05+13)	The firms submit the grievance and requested to consider the documents provided in grievance.	The committee securitized the record, as the firm fail to provided the relevant documents with technical bid, after rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 471 & 472.
04	M/S MTTI Medical, Lahore	Item No. 2 (Inj. Acyclovir 500mg) Item No. 151 (Inj. Dobutamine 250mg) Item No. 223 (Inj. Ondansetron 8mg)	Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (33/60) = (15+05+13) Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (28/60) = (10+05+13)	The firms submit the grievance and requested for reconsideration.	The committee securitized the record, as the firm fail to provided the relevant documents with technical bid, after rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 02 & 151. The committee securitized the record. After rechecking technical bid the marks of market experience & API source by gaining marks (15+05+20)=40, hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No.223.
		Item No. 331 (Inj. Iron Sucrose 20mg.) Item No. 405 (Syp. Cetirizine Dihydrochloride 5mg)	Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (35/60) = (10+05+20) Non responsive due to non provision of Sample and less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (18/60) = (0+05+13)		The committee securitized the record. After rechecking technical bid the marks of API source by gaining marks (15+05+20)=40, hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No.331. The committee securitized the record, as the firm fail to provided the relevant documents with technical bid, after rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 405.

22.8

Sr. No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
05	M/S Hiramis Pharma, Karachi	Item No. 36 (Cap / Tab, Ciprofloxacin 500mg) Item No. 134 (Tab, Montelukast Sodium 10mg) Item No. 175 (Tab, Nebivolol 2.5mg/5mg) Item No. 184 (Tab, Rosuvastatin 10mg) Item No. 257 (Tab, Levetiracetam 500mg)	Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (31/60) = (15+10+06)	The firms submit the grievance and requested for reconsideration.	The committee securitized the record, as the firm fail to provided the relevant documents with technical bid, after rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for Item No. 36, 134, 175, 184, 257 & 258.
		Item No. 258 (Tab, Levetiracetam 1000mg)	Non responsive due to non provision of Sample and less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (31/60) = (15+10+06)		



Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
05	M/S Hiranis Pharma, Karachi	Item No. 64 (Tab / Cap. Piroxicam 20mg) Item No. 89 (Tab. Clindamycin-C 300mg Item No. 283 (Cap/Tab. Olanzapine 5mg) Item No. 349 (Mouth Wash. Benzylamine HCl) Item No. 369 (Cap. Itraconazole 100mg) Item No. 372 (Tab. Terbinafine 250mg) Item No. 409 (Cap/ Tab. Fexofenadine 120mg Blister Pack) Item No. 77 (Tab. Prednisolone 5mg)	Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (23/60) =(10+10+03)	The firms submit the grievance and requested for reconsideration.	The committee securitized the record, as the firm fail to provided the relevant documents with technical bid, after rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 64, 89, 283, 349, 369, 372, 409 & 77.

Non responsive due to
 non provision Sample
 and less than 60%
 Marks in Marking
 Criteria (33/60)
 =(10+10+13)

Handwritten signature


Handwritten signature

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
05	M/S Hiranis Pharma, Karachi	Item No. 252 & 253 (Syp. Divalproex Sodium 250mg/500mg) Item No. 287 (Tab. Resperidone 2mg) Item No. 345 (Tab. Ossein Mineral) Item No. 359 (Lotion. Permethrin 5%) Item No. 238 (Tab. Sitagliptin Phosphate 50mg) Item No. 276 (Tab. Escitalopram 10mg) Item No. 286 (Tab. Quetiapine 25 mg) Item No. 356 (Cream. Fucidic Acid 2%,) Item No. 366 (Tab. Fluconazole 150mg)	Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (33/60) = (10+10+13)	The firms submit the grievance and requested for reconsideration.	The committee securitized the record. After rechecking technical bid the marks of market experience by gaining marks (10+10+20)=40, hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No.252, 253 & 345. The committee securitized the record, as the firm fail to provided the relevant documents with technical bid, after rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 238, 276, 286, 256, 287, 359 & 366.

2

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
06	M/S The Cure, Lahore	Item No. 430 (Inj. Bupivacaine HCl 0.75% Spinal 7.5mg.)	Non responsive due to non provision of Drug sale license, (Expired) & (Affidavit Not on stamp Paper) and less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (10/60)=(10+0+0)	The firms submit the grievance and requested to consider the documents provided in grievance.	The committee securitized the record, as the firm fail to provided the relevant documents with technical bid, after rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 430.
07	M/S Vision Pharmaceutical Pvt Ltd, Islamabad	Item No. 40 (Inj. Moxifloxacin 400mg/250ml)	Non responsive due to non provision of Sample and less then 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (33/60)=(10+10+13)	The firms submit the grievance and requested to consider the documents provided in grievance.	The committee securitized the record, as the firm fail to provided the relevant documents with technical bid, after rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 40.
08	M/S Surge Laboratories Pvt Ltd, Karachi	Item No. 414 (Inj. Atracurium Besylate 10mg/ML, 2.5ml / 3ml) Item No. 415 (Inj. Atracurium Besylate 10mg/ml, 5ml)	Non responsive due to Sample rejected by end user (poor efficacy)	The firms submit the grievance and requested to reevaluate the sample	The committee securitized the record & discussed the matter in details with end user. In the light of data & opinion provided by end user regarding poor efficacy of item No. 414 & 415. Hence the committee reject the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.

2.8



Amber

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
09	M/S Mega Pharmaceutical Ltd, Lahore	Item No. 134 (Tab. Montelukast Sodium 10mg) Item No. 139 (Tab. Amlodipine 5mg) Item No. 141 (Tab. Atenolol 50mg) Item No. 219 (Tab. Domperidone 10mg) Item No. 229 (Tab. Drotaverine 40mg) Item No. 232 (Tab. Glimepiride 2mg) Item No. 406 (Tab. etrizine Hydrochloride 10mg) Item No. 65 (Tab. Tizanidine 4mg)	Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria $(35/60) = (10+05+20)$	The firms submit the grievance and requested for reconsideration.	The committee securitized the record, as the firm fail to provided the relevant documents with technical bid, after rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 139, 219, 229, 232, 406, & 65. The committee securitized the record. After rechecking technical bid the marks of API Source by gaining marks $(15+05+20)=40$, hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No.134 & 141.
			Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria $(33/60) = (15+05+13)$		



Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
09	M/S Mega Pharmaceutical Ltd, Lahore	Item No. 169 (Tab. Losartan Potassium 50mg) Item No. 287 (Tab. Resperidone 2mg)	Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (28/60) =(10+05+13)	The firms submit the grievance and requested for reconsideration.	The committee securitized the record, as the firm fail to provided the relevant documents with technical bid, after rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 169 & 287.
10	M/S Abbott Laboratories, Pakistan, Karachi	Item No. 2 (Inj. Acyclovir 500m)	Responsive	Pleaded against M/S SJ & G Fazal Ellabe that their Inj. Herpex does not meet lyophilization criteria of tend. As you know very well, Lyophilized injection seems to have cake formation in vial instead of powder firm. It can be verified by physical examination form tender samples provided.	The committee securitized the record. The firm presentation has been rejected by the committee, as all the qualified products were lyophilized. Hence committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee
		Item No. 9 (Susp. Cefixime 100mg/5ml) Item No. 23 (Susp. Clarithromycin 125mg.)	Non responsive due to non provision of samples	The firms submitted grievance along with sample receiving of copy	After rechecking it was found that sample were submitted with the tender but some how the sample were not checked by technical advisory committee. Hence committee declared the firm responsive for item No. 09 & 23.

re. &

Ag

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
11	M/S Getz Pharma Pvt Ltd,	Item No. 21 (Cap/Tab. Clarithromycin 500mg)	Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (33/60)=(10+20+03)	The firms submit the grievance and requested for reconsideration.	The committee securitized the record. After rechecking technical bid the marks of Market Experience by gaining marks (10+20+20)=50, hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No.21
12	M/S Bajwa Pharmaceuticals Pvt Ltd, Lahore	Item No. 54 (Inj. Ketorolac Trometamol 30mg) Item No. 69 (Inj. Nalbuphine HCl 10mg) Item No. 162 (Inj. Isosorbide Di-Nitrate 0.1%) Item No. 179 (Inj. Phenylephrine) Item No. 430 (Inj. Bupivacaine HCl 0.75%)	Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (15/60)=(10+05+0)	The firms submit the grievance and requested for reconsideration.	The committee securitized the record. After rechecking technical bid the marks of API Source & Market Experience by gaining marks (15+05+20)=40, hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No.162 & 179. The committee securitized the record, as the firm fail to provided the relevant documents with technical bid, after rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 54, 69 & 430.



Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
12	M/S Bajwa Pharmaceuticals Pvt Ltd, Lahore	Item No. 84 (Inj. Naloxone HCl 0.4mg Item No. 151 & 152 (Dobutamine 250mg/40mg) Item No. 172 (Inj. Metoprolol) Item No. 203 (Inj. Tranexamic Acid 500mg) Item No. 217 (Inj. Dimenhydrinate 50mg) Item No. 326 (Inj. Cholecalciferol) Item No. 418 (Inj. Glycopyrrolate 0.5mg)	Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria $(35/60) = (10+05+20)$	The firms submit the grievance and requested for reconsideration.	The committee securitized the record. After rechecking technical bid the marks of API Source by gaining marks $(15+05+20)=40$, hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No.84, 151,172. & 217. The committee securitized the record, as the firm fail to provided the relevant documents with technical bid, after rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 152, 203, 326, 418 & 154.
		Item No. 154 (Inj. Ephedrine 30mg)	Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria $(5/60) = (0+05+0)$		


22.8



Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
12	M/S Bajwa Pharmaceuticals Pvt Ltd, Lahore	Item No. 187 (Inj. Verapamil 5mg) Item No. 222 (Inj. Metoclopramide 5mg) Item No. 424 (Inj. Rocuronium 10mg)	Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria $(28/60) = (10+05+13)$	The firms submit the grievance and requested for reconsideration.	The committee securitized the record. After rechecking technical bid the marks of API Source & Market Experience by gaining marks $(15+05+20)=40$, hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No.187.
		Item No. 331 (Inj. Iron Sucrose)	Non responsive due to non provision of sample and less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria $(15/60) = (10+05+0)$		The committee securitized the record, as the firm fail to provided the relevant documents with technical bid, after rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 222, 424, 331, 414 & 415.
		Item No. 414 (Inj. Atracurium 2.5ml)	Non responsive due to Sample rejected by end user (poor efficacy) and less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria $(28/60) = (10+05+13)$		
		Item No. 415 Inj. Atracurium 5ml)	Non responsive due to Sample rejected by end user (poor efficacy) and less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria $(35/60) = (10+05+20)$		

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
13	M/S AA Pharma, Karachi	Item No. 404 (Inj. Epoetin Alfa 4000IU)	Non responsive due to non provision of sample.	The firms submit the grievance along with sample.	The committee securitized the record, as the firm neither submitted the sample within 07 days & nor intimated about reason of non provision of sample. Hence the committee reject the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.
14	M/S Hassan Surgical, Bahawalpur	Item No. 70 (Inj. Tramadol 100mg)	Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (35/60)=(15+20+0)	The firms submit the grievance and requested for reconsideration.	The committee securitized the record. After rechecking technical bid the marks of Market Experience by gaining marks (15+20+03)=38, hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No.70.
		Item No. 113 (Susp. Aminophylline 32mg.)	Non responsive due to sample rejected (Not as per specs)		The committee securitized the record, as the firm fail to provided the relevant documents with technical bid, after rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 113 & 207..
		Item No. 207 (Susp. Aluminium Hydroxide, Magnesium Hydroxide, Simethicone)	Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (20/60)=(0+20+0)		

Z. K.



Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
15	New Majeed Medicine Company, Bahawalpur	Item No. 20 (Cap/Tab. Azithromycin 500 mg)	Non responsive due to non provision of Drug Registration certificate & non provision of sample and less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (20/60) = (0+20+0)	The firms submit the grievance and requested for reconsideration.	The committee securitized the record, as the firm fail to provided the relevant documents with technical bid, after rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 20.
		Item No. 26 (Inj. Amoxycillin (as Sodium) 1g + Clavulanic Acid)	Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (30/60) = (10+20+0)		The committee securitized the record. After rechecking technical bid the marks of API Source & Market Experience by gaining marks (10+20+06)=36, hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No.26
		Item No. 207 (Susp. Iuminium Hydroxide, Magnesium)	Non responsive due to non provision of Drug Registration certificate and less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (20/60) = (0+20+0)		
		Item No. 240 (Inj. Hydroxyprogester one Caproate 250mg)	Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (33/60) = (10+20+3)		The committee securitized the record, as the firm fail to provided the relevant documents with technical bid, after rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 207 & 240.

2

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
16	M/S Brookes Pharma, Karachi	Item No. 430 (Inj. Pupivacaine HCl 0.75%)	Responsive	<p>Pladed against M/S Surge Laboratories, keeping the following aspects in view,</p> <p>i) M/S surge Laboratories has very much less Production Experience as well as very less marketing Experience for last 2 years.</p> <p>ii) M/S surge Laboratories has not past Experience regarding the supply of said product to Teaching Hospital/ Institutes in Punjab.</p> <p>iii) M/S Surge Laboratories is not Pre-Qualified in DGHS Punjab & for the financial year of 2021-22 for the said item.</p> <p>iv) Based on the quality of product, M/s Surge Laboratories has no appropriate end user acceptance, where Brookes has vast end user Acceptance/ satisfaction due to its quality of APIs, freely availability in local Market as well as vast experience in supplying of those items in teaching institutes in Punjab and KP.</p>	<p>The committee securitized the record & discussed the matter in details with end user. The committee reject the firm presentation and upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.</p>

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
17	M/S Sami Pharmaceutical Pvt Ltd, Karachi	Item No. 404 (Inj. Epoetin Alfa 4000IU)	Responsive	<p>Plended against M/S A.A Pharma has obtained 82 marks.</p> <p>i) As per the available information, the registration certificate has been issued to them in October 2018, hence not fulfilling the criteria of local market business, besides bidder & manufacturer's relationship that necessitates the tenure of 05 years.</p> <p>Request you kind authority to review the marking criteria.</p>	<p>The committee securitized the record & discussed the matter in details. the committee accepted the firm presentation. After re-evaluation of tender documents of M/S AA Pharma, Karachi. The committee found:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. The marks of market experience decrease to 10. ii. The marks of international testing decrease to 0. iii. The marks of export of product decrease to 15. iv. Total marks of M/S AA Pharma, (20+10+10+0+15)=55 <p>Hence the committee declared the non responsive of M/S AA Pharma, Karachi for item No. 404.</p>

8

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
18	M/S Jamil Traders, Multan	Item No. 221 (Tab. Metoclopramide 10mg) Item No. 475 (Tab/Cap. Tacrolimus 0.5mg) Item No. 476 (Tab/Cap. Tacrolimus 1mg) Item No. 491 (Tab. Mycophenolate mofetil 250mg / 500mg) Item No. 494 (Tab/Cap. Cyclosporine 25mg) Item No. 495 (Tab/Cap. Cyclosporine 50mg) Item No. 496 (Tab/Cap. Cyclosporine 100mg)	Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (30/60) = (10+20+0) Non responsive due to non provision of samples.	The firms submit the grievance and requested for reconsideration.	The committee securitized the record, as the firm fail to provided the relevant documents with technical bid, after rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 221. The committee securitized the record, as the firm neither submitted the sample within 07 days & nor intimated about reason of non provision of sample. Hence the committee reject the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.

Z.R



Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
19	M/S Atlantic Pharma, Karachi	Item No. 376 (Inj. Anti-Rabies Vaccine)	Non responsive due to non provision of samples.	The firms submitted grievance along with sample receiving of copy	After rechecking it was found that sample were submitted with the tender but some how the sample were not checked by technical advisory committee. Hence committee declared the firm responsive for item No. 376.
20	M/S Allmed Pvt Ltd, Lahore	Item No. 79 (Tab. Deferasirox 100mg Item No. 82 (Tab. Deferasirox 500mg) Item No. 178 (Inj. Nor Epinephrine 4mg)	Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (35/60) = (10+05+20) Non responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (35/60) = (10+05+20)	The firms submit the grievance and requested for reconsideration. For items No. 178 Pleaded against M/S MTL, please verify in original document (API Source others attached. M/S Hassan Surgical, Please verify in document (financial capacity of Atco.	The committee securitized the record. After rechecking technical bid the marks of API Source by gaining marks (15+05+20)=40, hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No.79 & 82. The committee securitized the record, as the firm fail to provided the relevant documents with technical bid, after rechecking the total marks remained same, the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 178. The committee securitized the record. After rechecking the API Source of M/S MTI Medical & rechecking the documents of M/S Hassan Surgical, Bwp. The committee reject the firm presentation and upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 178.

✓

Sr.No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
21	M/S Sind Medical Store, Karachi	Item No.376 (Inj. Anti-Rabies Vaccine)	Non Responsive due to less than 60% Marks in Marking Criteria (50/100) =(05+05+20+0+20)	The firm submit grievance for reconsideration	The committee securitized the record. After rechecking technical bid the marks of Bidder Manfc. Relation by gaining marks (20+05+20+0+20)=65, hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No.376



Prof. Dr. Ambreen Khan
Head of Anesthesia Department
(End User)



Malik Muhammad Zaem
Bio Medical Engineer Kidney center
(Member)



Dr. Irfan Aslam
Pharmacist B.V.Hospital Bahawalpur
(Member)