## SURGICAL DISPOSABLE ITEMS FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR 2023-24 HELD ON 07-07-2023 AT 09:00 AM IN THE MEETING ROOM PURCHASE MINUTES OF REDRESSAL COMMITTEE MEETING FOR REDRESSAL OF GRIEVANCES OF DIFFERENT FIRMS AGAINST TENDER OF CELL QUAID-E-AZAM MEDICAL COLLEGE B.V.HOSPITAL, BAHAWALPUR.

Presentations of the different firms were discussed as per agenda & decisions were made accordingly.

			02	01	Sr No.
			M/S Noor International, Karachi	M/S Ali Gohar & Company, Bwp	Name of Firm
	for item No. 89 (Latex Gloves without powder)	for item No. 60 (Epidural Set ē Catheter All Sizes)	for item No. 16 (Catheter Mount (for Ventilator Circuit)	(Pressure Tube 150 cm) single ended	C.S Items #
	Non responsive due to non provision of sample.	Non responsive due to sample rejected	Non responsive due to sample rejected (Unsatisfactory Material)	Non responsive due to non provision of sample.	Decision of TAC
N S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S			The firm submit the grievance to re-evaluate the sample.	The firm submit the grievance along with sample.	Grievances
	The committee rejected the firm presentation and upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 89.	The committee rejected the firm presentation and upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 60.	The Committee scrutinized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee has rechecked the sample & approved it. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No. 16.	The Committee scrutinized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee accepted the firm presentation & approved the sample. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No. 108.	Decision

May &

A .

The state of the s

	NE STATE OF THE ST	( ) No.	Ain S		
Day of		N.	(Poly Sling (Adult & children) for item No. 124 (Surgical Gloves Sterile (Powder Free)		
			(Disposable surgical Gown with towel) for item No. 38 (Disposable Shoe Cover) for item No. 106 & 107	s.	
The Committee scrutinized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee rejected the firm presentation and upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 33,	The firm submit the grievance for reconsider	Non responsive due to non provision of sample	for item No. 33 (Disposable Gloves Polyethylene) for item No. 34 (Disposable Gown) for item No. 35	M/S 03 Plus Pharma, Lahore	05
The Committee scrutinized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 111.	The firm submit the grievance along with relevant documents & requested for reconsider.	Non responsive due to not attached with tender Quality certificate & Free Sale Certificate.	for item No. 111 (Pricking Needle/ Lancet)	M/S Star Traders. Bwp	)4
		Non responsive due to sample rejected (Tear)	for item No. 89 (Latex Gloves without powder)		
The Committee scrutinized the record set the matter in details. The committee has a the sample & approved it. Hence the committee has a declared the firm responsive for item No. 88	The firm submit the grievance to re-evaluate the sample.	Non responsive due to sample rejected (Punctures, Tear easily)	for item No. 88 (Latex Examination Gloves)	M/S Eastern Medical Care, Lahore	03
Decision  Fire and Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean	Grievances	Decision of TAC	C.S Items #	9. Name of Firm	Sr No.
'm					

Sin & Uma

	The state of the s	Mes	7		· \ \
The committee rechecked the documents & sample, the committee accepted the firm presentation and declared the firm responsive for item No. 123.		Non responsive due to expired free sale certificate & sample rejected (Poor Fitting, Poor Packing)	for item No. 123 (Surgical Gloves Sterile Size 6.5, 7, 7.5, 8)		
The committee rechecked the documents & accepted the firm presentation. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No. 97.		Non responsive due to expired free sale certificate.	for item No. 97 (Nasal Prongs for Oxygen Adult/ Paeds / Neonatal)		1
The committee sent the sample to PIC for reevaluation, as per re-evaluation report the committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 95.		Non responsive due to sample rejected (Bubbles in burette)	for item No. 95 (Micro Burette 100ml)		
The Committee scrutinized the record and discussed the matter in details. The Committee rechecked the sample & rejected it and upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 77, 78, 79 & 80.	The firm submit the grievance for reconsider & re-evaluate the samples.	Non responsive due to sample rejected (Poor Loose Assembly)	for item No. 77, 78, 79 & 80 (I.V. Cannula ē Port & Wings No. 18, 20, 22, & 24.	M/S Meher Traders, Karachi	07
The Committee scrutinized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee accepted the firm presentation & declared the firm responsive for item No. 140.	The firm submit the grievance along with valid Free Sale Certificate.	Non responsive due to expired free sale certificate.	for item No. 140 (Urine Bag for Adult)	Sarachi Medical Store,	88·0N
Decision	Grievances	Decision of TAC	C.S Items #	bross ince ha	mor.
				w	ons of s

					ı
As per expert opinion of end user, The committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 46 & 47.		Non responsive due to sample rejected	for item No. 46 (DJ Stent 4.7FR ē Guide Wire) for item No. 47 (DJ Stent 6FR ē Guide Wire)		
The Committee scrutinized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee rechecked the sample & approved it. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No. 35.	The firm submit the grievance for re-evaluate the samples.	Non responsive due to sample rejected ( Poor Packing AND Wrapping)	for item No. 35 (Disposable surgical Gown with towel)	M/S Medicamp International, Rwp	09
The committee sent the sample to PIC for reevaluation, as per re-evaluation report the committee declared the firm responsive for item No. 95.		Non responsive due to sample rejected (Bubbles in burette)	for item No. 95 (Micro Burette 100ml)		
The Committee scrutinized the record 2 a the matter in details. The committee reject firm presentation & upheld the decision of tec. advisory committee for item No. 82.	The firm submit the grievance for reconsider	Non responsive due to non provision of sample.	for item No. 82 (I.V. Set with Extra Port)	M/S Hakim Sons Pvt Ltd, Karachi	08
Decision Firm	Grievances	Decision of TAC	C.S Items #	Name of Firm	Sr No.

I what comme?

The second

		01 lech	ecision 82.
	₽X	5109	itee rei
	M/S Aqib Trading Company Pvt Ltd, Karachi	列S Nipro Medical Pvt Ltd, Lahore	e ploop of Firm
1	for item No. 124 (Surgical Gloves Sterile (Powder Free) Size 6.5, 7, 7.5, 8)  for item No. 123 (Surgical Gloves Sterile Size 6.5, 7, 7.5, 8)  for item No. 124 (Surgical Gloves Sterile (Powder Free) Size 6.5, 7, 7.5, 8)	for item No. 123 (Surgical Gloves Sterile Size 6.5, 7, 7.5, 8)	C.S Items #
	Non responsive due to sample rejected (Poor Texture)  Non responsive due to Expired FSC, Brand name not mentioned & sample rejected (Poor Fitting)	Non responsive due to sample rejected (Tear on wearing)	Decision of TAC
)	The firm submit the grievance for re-evaluate the samples.	The firm submit the grievance for re-evaluate the samples.	Grievances
	The Committee scrutinized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee rechecked the sample & rejected the firm presentation. The committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 123 & 124.	The Committee scrutinized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee rechecked the sample & approved it. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No. 123 & 124.	Decision

of My

My Comment

The Committee scrutinized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee rechecked the sample & approved it. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No. 77, 78 & 79. While upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 80.	The firm submit the grievance for re-evaluate the samples.	Non responsive due to sample rejected (Poor Assembly), (Loose fitting)	for item No. 77, 78, 79 & 80 (I.V. Cannula ē Port & Wings No. 18, 20, 22, & 24.	M/S Al-Hmad Enterprises, Karachi	13
The Committee scrutinized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee rejected the firm presentation pleaded against M/S Al-Hamd Enterprises, Karachi	submit grievance pleaded against M/S Al-Hmad Enterprises, Karachi, that firm is black listed in Director General Health Services Punjab		for item No. 123 (Surgical Gloves Sterile Size 6.5, 7, 7.5, 8) for item No. 124 (Surgical Gloves Sterile (Powder Free) Size 6.5, 7, 7.5, 8)		
	Ý.		,		
The Committee scrutinized the record at the matter in details. The committee acception presentation & declared the firm respons, item No. 123.	The firm submit the grievance for reconsider	Non responsive due to brand name not mentioned in FSC	for item No. 123 (Surgical Gloves Sterile Size 6.5, 7, 7.5, 8)	M/S Care and Cure, R.Y.K	12
Decision	Grievances	Decision of TAC	C.S Items #	Name of Firm	Sr No.

The state of the s

19x Here worked

(Sa) College	Jan 1	A way	Ma		
To the second se	General Health Services Punjab.  product specification are clearly mentioned in bidding documents as I.V. Cannula with injection port and wings. Requested to re-check the submitted enlistment certificate and relevant documents.				
The Committee scrutinized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee rejected the firm presentation pleaded against M/S Al-Hamd Enterprises, Karachi.	submit grievance pleaded against M/S Al-Hmad Enterprises, Karachi, that firm is black listed in Director	-	for item No. 77, 78, 79 & 80 (I.V. Cannula ē Port & Wings No. 18, 20, 22, & 24.	M/S Silver Surgical, Karachi.	51
		Non responsive due to sample rejected (Poor Packing)	for item No. 82 (I.V. Set with Extra Port)		
		Non responsive due to sample rejected (Poor Packing)	for item No. 81 (I.V. Set)	7	
		Non responsive due to sample rejected (Poor needle lock)	for item No. 42 (Disposable Syringe 10cc ē Needle)		
The Committee scrutinized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee rechecked the sample & rejected the firm presentation. The committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 41, 42, 81 & 82.	The firm submit the grievance for re-evaluate the samples.	Non responsive due to sample rejected (Poor Needle, lock Poor Quality)	for item No. 41 (Auto disable Disposable Syringe 05cc ē Needle)	No Unisa Pvi Lid, KPK	rsuod
Decision	Grievances	Decision of TAC	C.S Items #	of Firm	e acce
			(3), 1-1	in:	əjjimi

Senior Registrar Surgical Unit-IV Dr. Umair Ahmad **BVH** Bahawalpur (End User)

PIC Bahawalpur (Member)

Head of Gology Department Prof. Dr. Muntaz Rasool (Member)

> Dr. Ghanzanfar Ullah Farrukh Zaidi SMO BVH Bahawalpur (End User)

SAH Bahawalpur **AMS Admin** (Member)

Associate Professor of Surgery Department (Member)

Head of Cardiology Department Prof. Dr. Shahadat Hussain ON SUMMER VACEATION (Member)

Dr. Sajid Sb

Senior Registrar Surgical Unit-I BVH Bahawalpur

(End User)

BNH Bahawalpur (Member)

Head of Plastic Surgery Department. Prof. Dr. Mughees Ameen QAMC, BVH Bahawalpur

(Chairman of the Committee)